

-Cabinet Tuesday, 18 November 2014 Item No 8

Audit Scotland Risk Assessment Report 2014

Report by Eibhlin McHugh, Joint Director, Health and Social Care

1 Purpose of Report

Following the audit of Housing Benefit s in Midlothian Revenues Services, the attached Improvement Plan has been developed in response to the Risk Assessment Report published by Audit Scotland in September, 2014.

The Plan demonstrates the Council's commitment to address the recommendations contained within the Audit Report. The Plan, seeks to demonstrate how we will direct our efforts in securing measurable improvements in our performance.

2 Background

A risk assessment was previously carried out on Midlothian Council's benefit service in June 2011 when Audit Scotland identified 21 risks to continuous improvement. These were reported to the Chief Executive in August 2011 and, in response, the council submitted an action plan in September 2011 to address these risks which Audit Scotland accepted as satisfactory, if fully implemented.

This risk assessment was completed as part of Audit Scotland's housing benefit (HB) risk assessment programme. It does not represent a full audit of Midlothian Council's benefit service. Specifically, the risk assessment considers the effectiveness of the benefit service in meeting national and local priorities, business planning and reporting, and delivering outcomes.

It is noted that the benefit service has significantly improved claim processing performance from an average of 38 days for new claims and an average of 18 days for change events at December 2010 to an average of 20 days for new claims and an average of 13 days for change events in 2014/15, at June 2014.

An excellent performance has been delivered in respect of the financial accuracy of claims. The financial accuracy target of 98% has been met or exceeded continuously since 2010/11. In addition, the service has delivered an excellent performance in the recovery of in-year and all debt.

However, as a result of the decision to prioritise Scottish Welfare Fund applications in 2013/14, other areas of the benefit service were adversely affected resulting in the

council losing subsidy of £123,599 as a result of breaching the DWPs upper threshold for local authority error and administrative delay overpayments.

In order to ensure continuous improvement, the service needs to ensure there is evidence of challenge from members when the service is under-performing, monitor the recovery of fraud overpayments and administrative penalties to ensure recovery is vigorous and to help deter fraud, establish and address the reasons why 57% of pre-notified first intervention visits are not fully effective, and establish why the council's internally reported speed of processing performance differs to that published by the Department for Work and Pensions.

Already the Council has responded to feedback from Audit Scotland both during the on- site phase of the inspection and after receiving the draft Audit Report. We will continue to concentrate our efforts on driving and delivering service improvements in core service delivery.

3 Report Implications

3.1 Resource

There are no resource implications arising directly from this report.

3.2 Risk

The principal risks are listed within the Audit Scotland report and addressed in the Improvement Plan provided at Appendix 1.

3.3 Key Priorities within the Single Midlothian Plan

Midlothian Council and its Community Planning Partners have made a commitment to treat the following areas as key priorities under the Single Midlothian Plan:

□ Early years and reducing child poverty

- □ Economic Growth and Business Support
- □ Positive destinations for young people.

Themes addressed in this report:

- Community safety
- Adult health, care and housing
- Getting it right for every Midlothian child
- Improving opportunities in Midlothian
- Sustainable growth
- Business transformation and Best Value
- x None of the above

3.4 Impact on Performance and Outcomes

The Plan demonstrates the Council's commitment to address the recommendations contained within the Audit Report. The Plan seeks to demonstrate how we will direct our efforts in securing measurable improvements in our performance.

3.5 Adopting a Preventative Approach

Not Applicable

3.6 Involving Communities and Other Stakeholders

Not Applicable

3.7 Ensuring Equalities

Not Applicable

3.8 Supporting Sustainable Development

Not Applicable

3.9 IT Issues

Not Applicable

4 Recommendation

It is recommended that Cabinet:

- a) Note the Audit Scotland report.
- b) Note the Improvement Plan and that progress reports will be provided quarterly.
- c) Refer the report to Audit Committee and the Performance, Review and Scrutiny Committee.

Date: 13 October 2014 Report Contact : Kevin Anderson, Head of Customer and Housing Services Tel No. 0131 271 3225 Email: <u>kevin.anderson @midlothian.gov.uk</u>

Background paper:

Improvement Plan Audit Scotland Risk Assessment Report

Audit	Scotland ho	using be	nefit risk ass	essment				
			ROVEMENT P	PLAN: AT 01.10.2014				
Area o Repoi	of Risk 1 : Bu rting	siness F	Planning and					
No.1	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015

There are no	1.1	Target set for	Ken Pike	30/09/	Annual Review
documented		number of		2015	of
targets in the		interventions			performance
Customer &		completed in			measures –
Housing		2014/15 at			SPI &LPI for
Services		1,000.			revenues
Service Plan		Performance			services as
2014/15 for		monitored			part of the
interventions,		monthly on			business cycle
recovering in-		Covalent			on previous
year and all		report			year's
debt, or for		CR.RSS.25a.			performance,
dealing with					to identify
appeals.					areas to
Consequently					improve, and
performance					revise
is not being					measures.

	reported against all aspects of the service.	1.2	Target set for average time between appeal request and submission to Tribunals Service at 6 weeks. Performance monitored on monthly Covalent report RHM.b.05.2	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015	Annual Review of performance measures – SPI &LPI for revenues services as part of the business cycle on previous year's performance, to identify areas to improve, and revise measures.		
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015

2	There is no	2.1	Consistent	Kevin Anderson	30/09/	Performance
	evidence of		approach to		2015	management
	challenge		continuous			framework
	from		improvement			reviewed in
	members		in review of			October, 2015.
	when the		performance			LPI & SPI &
	service is		management			target
	under-		and			measures
	performing.		monitoring.			revised and
						agreed in
						October 2015.
		0.0	Destaurat		00/00/	
		2.2	Development	Kevin Anderson	30/09/	Annual Review
			of performance		2015	of
			targets and			performance
			actions in			measures –
			service			SPI &LPI for
			planning			revenues
						services as
						part of the
						business cycle
						on previous
						year's
						performance,
						to identify
						areas to
						improve, and
						revise

		2.3	Structured scutiny activity process with exception reporting highlighted for challenge in Cabinet and PRS Committee.	Kevin Anderson	30/09/ 2015	measures. Improve narrative on performance, including explanation and remedial action where off target.		
	of Risk 2 : Specessing	ed of						
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan	Progress Report	Progress Report

						2015	June 2015	Sept 2015
3	The council needs to address the reasons why there is a discrepancy between internally reported claims processing performance, and that published by the DWP.	3.1	Performance reports from Civica for 2013/14 for all new claims and changes of circumstances, excluding Council Tax Reduction claims, reconciled to published performance from DWP.	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015	Measure and report on statistical information in line with DWP guidance.		
		3.2	Continue to check quarterly performance data when published by DWP and monitor on monthly	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015	New measurement requirements implemented for internal monitoring.		

			Covalent report CSE.LPI.O3 and CSE.LPI.O4.					
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015
4	Reported performance in respect of speed of processing change events remains poorer than the Scottish average.	4.1	Review processes to increase ATLAS automation and remove duplicate processes through integration of ATLAS/ETD.	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015	Software supplier to provide analysis tool for implementatio n.		
		4.2	Continue to monitor performance and competing workload from Scottish Welfare Fund,	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015			

No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015
Interv	ventions							
Area	of Risk 3 :							
		4.3	Council Tax administration. Review support service provided for scanning and indexing of mail received	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015			
			Discretionary Housing Payments and					

5	The financial	5.1	Procedures	Ken Pike	30/09/		
	outcomes		and		2015		
	from the		spreadsheet				
	council's		altered to				
	intervention		record				
	visiting		monetary				
	programme		value of				
	are not being		increase/decre				
	recorded and		ase in weekly				
	therefore the		entitlement to				
	council does		housing				
	not have		benefit from				
	sufficient		intervention				
	assurance		activity				
	that its		(including Real				
	interventions		Time				
	activity is cost		Information				
	effective.		Bulk Data				
			Matching				
			Initiative).				
		5.2	Monitor	Ken Pike	30/09/	Software	
		J.Z	performance		2015	supplier to	
			on monthly		2013	provide	
			basis through			analysis tool	
			new measure			for	
			on Covalent			-	
			UN COVAIENT			implementatio	

			report.			n.		
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015
6	The council needs to establish the reasons why approximatel y 57% of notified first visits were not fully effective, and take action to ensure that it	6.1	Review pre- visit procedures to reduce risk of claimant not being able to provide all information at documents at time of visit or not present at visit.	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015			

minimises the number of ineffective visits going forward.	6.2	Review success criteria and reasons for visit not being fully effective on Intervention Visiting spreadsheet.	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015			
of Risk 4 : payments Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015

7	The council does not monitor the recovery of fraud overpayment s and	7.1	Covalent Fraud Overpayment figures and level of detail provided to Overpayment	Kathleen Leddy	30/09/ 2015			
	administrative penalties to ensure rigorous recovery and to act as a		Officer on a monthly basis to ensure rigorous recovery					
	deterrent, and therefore it is not clear how effective it is at recovering this type of debt.	7.2	Raise a call with Software Provider (Civica) to establish whether system can track the recovery of this type of debt.	Kathleen Leddy	30/09/ 2015	Software supplier to provide analysis tool for implementatio n		
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015

8	In 2013/14, as a result of breaching the DWPs upper threshold in respect of LA error and administrative delay overpayment s, the council	8.1	Continue to monitor performance monthly on Covalent report CSE.LPI.05 and take corrective action required.	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015	Review opportunities to minimise processing delay		
	was unable to claim subsidy of £123,599.	8.2	Performance as at 30 September 2014 £48,357 - under lower threshold £60,945, upper threshold £68,563.	Ken Pike	30/09/ 2015	Fill vacant processing posts		
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Dec 2011	Progress Report June 2012	Progress Report Dec 2012

9	The council	9.1	Investigate	Kathleen Leddy	30/09/		
	needs to		quarterly		2015		
	establish the		Housing				
	reasons why		Benefit				
	there has		Recoveries				
	been a		and Fraud				
	difference in		(HBRF) data to				
	its opening		ensure all				
	overpayment		balance are				
	balances		accounted for.				
	each year						
	since						
	2012/13						
	which has						
	resulted in						
	the value of						
	overpayment						
	s being						
	reported in						
	quarter one						
	of 2014/15 to						
	be overstated						
	by						
	approximatel						
	y £640,000.						

Area	of Improvement	t 5 : Co	ounter-Fraud					
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date Due	Progress Report Jan 2015	Progress Report June 2015	Progress Report Sept 2015
10	The council needs to establish and address the reasons why only 52 (28%) of the 189 cases investigated in 2013/14 resulted in a positive outcome for the council. A positive outcome could be a sanction, the identification	10.1	Annual review of risk scoring to focus on successful outcomes.	Kathleen Leddy	30/09/ 2015			

	of an	10.2	Pilot change in	Kathleen Leddy	30/09/			
	overpayment		working		2015			
	or		practices to					
	underpaymen		provide further					
	t, the removal		success in					
	of a single		investigations:					
	person		interview all					
	discount, or		customers					
	any other		where an					
	outcome that		investigation					
	would result		takes place.					
	in a financial							
	saving to the							
	council.							
No.	Priority	No.	Action	Manager Responsible	Date	Progress	Progress	Progress
					Due	Report Jan	Report	Report
						2015	June 2015	Sept 2015

	· · · · · ·						I
11	The council is	11.1	Ensure that all	Kathleen Leddy	30/09/		
	not routinely		reported cases		2015		
	being notified		are tracked by				
	of the		Fraud Officer				
	outcome of		and where				
	prosecution		suitable, a				
	cases and is		press release				
	therefore		is prepared				
	missing an		and signed off				
	opportunity to		by Head of				
	raise the		Service.				
	profile of the						
	fraud team by						
	publicising its						
	successes in						
	the local						
	press and						
	media, and						
	on the council						
	website.						