# EU Referendum: Impact of Brexit on the Government & Public Sector (GPS)

27 June 2016



## Macro summary of impact on the Government & Public Sector (GPS)

The "Leave" vote will lead to a significant impact for the public sector as it will be the sector that has to deliver the implementation of Brexit and experience leadership change. We now know that there will be a change of Prime Minister. In addition it is possible that an opposition leadership context will also take place in the near future.

With parliamentary recess approaching the majority of activity is now likely to be taking place outside of Parliament until the Autumn. Public sector business and service delivery will continue across the full breadth of the sector, but public servants within departments and beyond will be awaiting to understand what the political fallout will mean for them and their work.

Over the Summer the leadership contest will run its course whilst in Whitehall the civil service starts to plan for the process to leave the EU. Immediate impacts are likely be a significant distraction effect within central government as a new political leadership team comes to grips with the decision to leave and the 'promises' made to the electorate of the benefits of leaving at a time when the economy could suffer a material blow. Wider political impacts will be felt if the devolved administrations (Scotland in particular) continue to push for further referendums. This will likely lead to further instability in terms of the make up of the United Kingdom.

The UK Government will feel under pressure to start delivering palpable signs of the promised benefits whilst tackling the real task of the economy and negotialing exit from the EU. The negotiation will place a large burden on the likes of Cabinet Office, HMT, FCO, BIS and the HO and will likely distract from the normal run of business - this will spread to other departments as the task of unpicking legislation progresses.

The UK has not had a trade negotiation capability of any note since the 1970s. EU legislation has been embedded in UK law and built upon over forty years of regulations, directives and agreements blurring the legislative lines between the UK and EU. The government needs to decide how to opt out of each element and what alternatives will be put in place – stated as being 80,000 pages of agreements. Likely to tile up parliamentary time for years unless wholesale acceptance and targeted action is taken.

## Macro summary of impact on GPS (cont'd)

This distraction and any remedial measures to tackle the economy will dominate parliamentary time for a considerable time and, in the short term, could delay decision making on current government business such large programmes currently in train.

Particular claims during the Leave campaign have been more money for the NHS, reduced taxes on such things as utilities, protection of regional and agricultural grants, fisheries policy and controlled immigration/improved border controls. All of these would take government time and attention and cost money at a time when the economy could take a sustained downturn post vote impacting upon such targets as house building and seeing investors move temporarily or permanently away from the UK.

However, it is unlikely that the disentangling of UK/EU legislation can take place quickly enough for UK contributions to be reduced materially in the next couple of years. The electorate are also likely to expect quicker results and therefore it is highly likely that the new political leadership would need to revisit the announcements in SR15 and effectively redo the spending review (say in autumn 2016 or post a general election if one happens in 2017). The process of a spending review itself and the uncertainty within government of the outcome could lead to a further dampening of activity for several months as seen post 2015 election.

One of the key issues will be dealing with the many complex challenges over an extended period of time that need the civil service to sort and deliver them. As the political situation settles then leaving the EU will become a reality with its own operational, administrative and political challenges. The civil service will need to continue to successfully deliver government business in a context of increased and extended political uncertainty.

The Chancellor's speech on the 27 June 2016 summed up the key risks - market volatility is likely to continue, uncertainty will impact investment, and there is a long way to go to agree future long-term economic relationships.

Page 2




## Key next step dates

- · 28 June EU leaders are due to gather for a summit in Brussels.
- 1 July the Netherlands will hand over the EU's six-month rotating presidency to the relatively-inexperienced Slovakia, which must now lead the negotiations towards Brexit. The UK had been due to take the helm at the end of 2017, but that will not happen now.
- 21 July the Westminster Parliament is due to rise for its summer break, but the civit service and ministers will need to continue to work on preparations for negotiations.
- Mid August if the Conservative leadership takes a similar length of time as in 2005, this is around when the
  successor to Mr Cameron could be announced. Given the need for someone to take charge of the talks, it is likely
  there would be a strong desire to move as fast as possible. The new PM would then presumably set out their
  reshuffled cabinet before Parliament returns.
- 5 September Parliament is due back for 10 calendar days, and while the early Autumn sitting is usually quiet, this
  year it could be extremely busy as the government tries to reduce the backlog of business. It is not certain that any
  Brexit related legislation will be brought into this session.
- 15 September 10 October Conference season. This will be the opportunity for the new PM to set out the vision and timetable for Brexit.
- Mid October 20 December Autumn parliamentary session this will the first real opportunity for Parliament to
  focus much time and attention on Brexit related legislation.

## **Key process & Timeline steps**

(source BBC)



Page 4



## Key EU legislation focus



EU legislation in all its forms touches all parts of the GPS sector by the nature of the market covering all aspects of UK life. Vote Leave has called for legislation in the current session of Parliament to 'end the European Court of Justice's control over national security and allow the government to deport criminals from the EU', The Brexiteers have also pledged to abolish the 5% rate of VAT on household energy bills by amending the VAT Act 1994. Other parliamentary actions promised by the Leave campaign include ending the automatic right of all EU citizens to enter the UK, and repealing the European Communities Act 1972 (Repeal) Bill - the legislation that guarantees the supremacy of EU law to domestic rules.

However, it is clear that it will take some time to cut the ties to Brussels. Based on the focus issues of the Vote Leave campaign key areas of legislative focus over the coming months/years for the government are likely to be:

- Finance Bill to respond to any economic shocks via an emergency budget/autumn statement
- Overall disentangling of EU legislation upon which much UK legislation has subsequently been built upon so no small task
- Devolved Administrations Second Independence Referendum in Scotland?
- Immigration/border controls/policing
- · Defence/security arrangements
- Trade deals and business regulatory laws,
- Agricultural & fisheries policies
- Taxation
- Energy
- Devolution/local government
- . Transport for instance a new runway could be seen to be critical to expanding the UK's trade

## Scenario drivers

### **Trade**

Exports to EU, access to markets, imports (supply chain) from EU, impact on customers, e.g. business done in UK with EU-owned businesses; NB trade with other markets could also be impacted as EU trade deals are taken away from the UK

Risk area	Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps	
1. Trade deals (EU)	<ul> <li>The UK would need to start negotiations for new trade deals with the EU – this is likely to be protracted and potentially hostile, and will require significant civil service resource and legal advice. This could introduce uncertainly into the business world and markets and be a significant distraction for government.</li> <li>Coupled with this will be the lack of trade negotiation in the UK government – no real capability has existed since the 1970s although there are UK civil servants with experience of negotiating on legislative issues within the EU.</li> </ul>	
2. Trade deals (non EU)	<ul> <li>Following a leave vote the UK will be free to negotiate trade deals outside of the EU. This would also be a priority for the government as it is a visible sign of the benefits of Brexit whilst helping support the economy.</li> <li>But this may not be able to be accomplished in an accelerated timescale and would require capable civil, service resource – which government does not have in swathes as most negotiation has been carried out by the EU and negotiating a new deal with the EU could be prioritised over non EU deals.</li> <li>It is also not clear that such negotiations can be legally formalised before the UK formally leaves the EU.</li> </ul>	
3. Energy	<ul> <li>Impacts could well be seen in utilities and power as many are owned/operate by European HQ companies e.g. EDF, EoN, Scottish Power etc, and issues could relate to debt leverage, corporate structure, future funding e.g. Nuclear New Build – Hinkley Point C.</li> <li>Power trading could also be impacted - e.g. import/export agreements between National Grid and France.</li> </ul>	



## Scenario drivers

## Trade (cont'd)

6

Exports to EU, access to markets, imports (supply chain) from EU, impact on customers, e.g. business done in UK with EU-owned businesses; NB trade with other markets could also be impacted as EU trade deals are taken away from the UK

Risk area	Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps
4. Local government	<ul> <li>Local authorities and enterprise agencies are central to driving the growth of the economy in supporting balance of payments in their localities. There will be a high level of uncertainty for businesses to invest and recruitment following the immediate impact of Brexit that may productivity and trade and major issues.</li> <li>Companies may stop UK plans to locate in the UK set up sites elsewhere. FDI could be reduced as many companies locate in the UK to trade with Europe</li> <li>Tariffs will clearly be an issue –it will certainly be a question businesses will want answers to quickly but will take time.</li> <li>With regards to devolution it may be difficult for the government to reverse the trend as the mantra of the Leave campaign has been to 'take back control' and have self determination – likewise this will make it difficult for a Brexit focused government to resist pressure from the devolved administrations to have more say in their affairs or to hold referendum on ceding from the UK.</li> </ul>
5. Carriers	<ul> <li>Airports – impacts on operators regarding air space and landing agreements will cascade into airport owner/operators with respect to future expansion (new runway) Visa and travel impacts likely to significant impact on sector e.g. low cost airlines.</li> </ul>
6. Business Uncertainty	<ul> <li>Companies may stop plans to locate/invest in the UK and set up sites elsewhere. FDI could be reduced as many companies locate in the UK to trade with Europe. Tariffs will clearly be an issue –it will certainty be a question businesses will want answers to quickly but will take time to resolve introducing more uncertainty.</li> </ul>



## **Scenario drivers** Migration

Impact on ability to hire skilled and unskilled staff, possible wage pressure, impact on existing staff

Risk area	Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps
1. Health	The NHS relies heavily upon migrant workers both professional and semi skilled. Any changes to immigration policy may impact upon the NHS and social care services' ability to delivers services.
2. Local Government	<ul> <li>Migration is always an issue for Local Authorities who are usually the end point for housing and location of migrants to the UK as well as employing large numbers in social care. Changes may create issues of access to capabilities and labour.</li> <li>Could be a movement of companies locating elsewhere over the medium term to access broader EU workforce market creating local issues of investment.</li> </ul>
3. Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Potential large impact upon the EU migrant labour workforce needed to deliver infrastructure programme across all sectors. Infrastructure also use large migrant workforce for maintenance and operating assets.</li> </ul>
4. Higher education	The UK education system is highly dependent upon non UK students to help fund the overall system,. With Brexit this could change and reduce the amount of income coming into the system and putting the HE system under greater pressure. The sector is already gearing up/entering a period of consolidation without any Brexit impact.



## Scenario drivers

## Regulation

Changes to regulation of products and services, impact on standards and future investment

Risk area	Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps
1. Business regulation	Brexit will allow the UK government to reduce business regulation and 'red tape' however the likelihood is that in order to trade with the EU the UK would still have to abide by EU regulations to a large degree.  There are areas such as environment regulations, Employment law and the Working Time Directive that have to be questioned as to whether or not these are seen as 'bad' legislation. Reducing red tape could make the UK more attractive to external investment but the attraction of the larger EU market could attract movement of companies from the UK to the EU.  A reduction in red tape, if it affects workers rights, could also potentially lead to a resurgence in trade unionism.
2. Local government	<ul> <li>Legacy funding and investments from previous EU projects are now maturing and subject to EU rules. This may change. Many regions are subject to grants /debt funding supported through the EU. There will even be more uncertainty as the rest of the world may not continue to trade with the EU on the same basis when we are no longer part of the "club"</li> </ul>
3. State Aid	<ul> <li>When the UK leaves the EU, the rules preventing unlawful State Aid would cease to apply to the UK and Government would be in a position to revise their public spending guidelines and protocols. This could enable direct investment in certain projects that may not currently be possible due to the state aid rules.</li> <li>This would have an obvious impact on such bodies as UKTI. Highlands &amp; Islands Enterprise and Scottish Enterprise. The future model could be a more flexible model to work within, however following an expected negative impact on GDP post Brexit, there may be reductions in the amount of state aid made available overall and there are risk of trade tariffs being imposed.</li> </ul>



#### Scenario drivers

## Government policy

Access to EU research funding, taxation, possible use of state aid by UK Government to back selected sectors. In addition to previous comments regarding Government policy the below are some specific issues of particular interest to the GPS market

#### Risk area

#### Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps

#### 1. General macro EU leave policy

The government may feel under pressure to start delivering palpable signs of the promised benefits whilst tackling the real task of negotiating exit from the EU. The negotiation will place a large burden on the likes of Cabinet Office, HMT, FCO, BIS and the HO and will distract from the normal run of business - this would spread to other departments as the task of unpicking legislation progresses. EU legislation has been embedded in UK law for 40 years and subsequently built upon, so a complicated task unless EU law is accepted as UK law.

#### 2. Re-visiting current spending policy

- Particular claims during the Leave campaign have been more money for the NHS, reduced taxes on such
  things as utilities, agricultural & fisheries policy, local government grants and controlled immigration/
  improved border controls. All of these will cost money and government time at a time when the economy
  may take a sudden downturn post vote and investors move temporarily or permanently away from the UK.
- It is very possible that the new political leadership would need to revisit the announcements in SR15 and
  effectively redo the spending review (say in autumn 2016). The process of review itself and the uncertainty
  within government of the outcome could lead to a further dampening of activity for several months as seen
  post 2015 election.

## 3. Public procurement

• The UK is subject to the EU Procurement Directive which has been adopted into UK law via enabling legislation; the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 in England and Wales, and the Public Contracts (Scotland) Regulations 2015 in Scotland. Nothing would change in the short term however these laws could be revoked and/or replaced with alternative legislation. The UK could restrict competition to the UK, but then it would be likely that the EU would also be able to restrict competition across the remainder of the EU to exclude UK businesses. The UK is however also party to the World Trade Organisation's Government Procurement Agreement however and, as such, even in the event of a Brexit, those rules would continue to apply.





#### Scenario drivers

## Government policy (cont'd)

Access to EU research funding, taxation, possible use of state aid by UK Government to back selected sectors. In addition to previous comments regarding Government policy the below are some specific issues of particular interest to the GPS market

Risk area Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps

#### 4. EU grants

- The public sector currently benefits from grant funding from various EU institutions and that funding can be
  useful in research, stimulating growth and regeneration in areas and projects that would not otherwise be
  feasible. When the UK leaves the EU, it is likely that UK public sector bodies would no longer be entitled to
  apply for that grant funding, which may prejudice the ability to progress those projects or areas of
  research. This is particularly relevant to the LG and HE sectors.
- We could also see potential reduction on locational grant funding for employment and infrastructure in the more remote/deprived areas such as the Welsh valleys, Highlands and Islands. These areas currently receive a higher % of European grant funding and this may not be able to be replaced by the relevant government.

## 5. Local Government

- LEPs are heavily funding through Local Growth Deals and with a significant notional allocation or ERDF/ESF funding from Europe. This poses a risk and level of assurance as to what commitment of funding will remain and when it will expire. Will Government re-commit or be able to afford to re-commit to such funding?
- Many local authorities are accelerating business support through significant borrowing through the EIB. Terms
  may change going forward and level of risk scrutiny could increase with ex-ante assessments.
- A new industrial policy for key industries and sectors will need to be rolled out asap to bolster confidence and support, but on what basis?
- Local government funding will be under scrutiny once again.

## 6. Higher Education

 HE sector relies heavily on EU students more than non-EU students. The UK may seem less attractive and lose income.



### **Scenario drivers**

## Government policy (cont'd)

Access to EU research funding, taxation, possible use of state aid by UK Government to back selected sectors. In addition to previous comments regarding Government policy the below are some specific issues of particular interest to the GPS market

Risk area		Summary of impact / possible preparatory steps	
7.	Accounting treatments	<ul> <li>Public Sector bodies in the UK are currently required to comply with the European System of Accounts 2010. These rules have impacted on the public sectors ability to structure finance solutions and delivery models.</li> <li>Following Brexit the UK would no longer need to follow rules prescribed by Eurostat and that may allow the ONS to follow alternative accounting rules which could impact future delivery models.</li> </ul>	
8	Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Uncertainty over the economy may restrict government's investment in Infrastructure projects. This could couple with a perfect storm as investors are unwilling to invest in the UK and the fact that the European Investment Bank (the EIB) provides a large element of finance for many of the UK's largest complex infrastructure projects. 2015 saw a record year for the EIB's engagement across the United Kingdom.</li> <li>A Brexit will likely to impact on the UK public sector's ability to secure EiB finance, although it is also possible that a Brexit could give rise to alternative models.</li> </ul>	





## Key immediate questions...

- What is the impact of a steep fall in sterling on your organisation?
- Do you have the capabilities to meet the challenges heading your way?
- Are you confident you can maintain service delivery through an extended period of uncertainty?
- Are there any risks to supply contracts, including outsourcing, as a result of the vote?
- What are the plans to retain the workforce, both skilled and un-skilled, now potentially at risk of losing their right to work in the UK?
- Have you communicated with staff?
- Are there any major bids outstanding or programmes underway that could be impacted?
- Are there any immediate issues relating to EU funding or projects such as R&D?
- What is the plan to manage the longer-term impact?
- Are there any opportunities your organisation could take advantage of during this period?
- Are you comfortable in the strength of business relationships with non-UK based distributors, customers and suppliers?
- Are you comfortable with your financing given the risk of interest rate rises to bolster sterling and a likely re-rating of the risk profile of UK assets?



#### EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

#### Ernst & Young LLP

© Emsl & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All Rights Reserved.

ED None

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership inspitered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com

\* { - \*