



Appendix A

Integrated Impact Assessment Form

Promoting Equality, Human Rights and Sustainability

Title of Policy/ Proposal	Diversion of public paths at Mauricewood, Penicuik.
Completion Date	26/07/2018
Completed by	James Kinch
Lead officer	Ricky Moffat

Type of Initiative:

Policy/Strategy

Programme/Plan x New or Proposed x

Project Changing/Updated

Service Review or Existing

Function

Other Statement of Intent

1. Briefly describe the policy/proposal you are assessing.

Set out a clear understanding of the purpose of the policy being developed or reviewed (e.g. objectives, aims) including the context within which it will operate.

This document relates to a report to Midlothian Council Cabinet regarding a Diversion Order that will affect the route of two Rights of Way in the Mauricewood area of Penicuik

Service objectives: Council has a power to divert rights of way where it is considered reasonable to do so. In this instance there is a significant housing development which it is proposed will be built over the rights of way.

The proposed paths (will replace the existing rights of way) providing a path network that gives better access for all users and more accessible links to the wider path network.

What will change as a result of this policy?

The two existing rights of way will be closed and a temporary path constructed that gives a reasonable amount of access to the public around the development site. The temporary path will be an improved specification over the existing rights of way which are generally very muddy and are not maintained.

Once the housing development is complete there will be an improved network of paths and roadside footways - which includes the diverted routes. These paths will give much improved access compared to the current rights of way.

2. Do I need to undertake a Combined Impact Assessment?

High Relevance	Yes/no
The policy/ proposal has consequences for or affects people	Yes
The policy/proposal has potential to make a significant impact on equality	No
The policy/ proposal has the potential to make a significant impact on the economy and the delivery of economic outcomes	No
The policy/proposal is likely to have a significant environmental impact	No
Low Relevance	
The policy/proposal has little relevance to equality	

The policy/proposal has negligible impact on the economy	
The policy/proposal has no/ minimal impact on the environment	
If you have identified low relevance please give a brief description of your reason here and send it to your Head of Service to record.	ning

If you have answered yes to high relevance above, please proceed to complete the Integrated Impact Assessment.

3. What information/data/ consultation have you used to inform the policy to date?

Evidence	Comments: what does the evidence tell you?		
Data on populations in need	No data on populations was used to inform the Diversion Order		
Data on service uptake/access	No data on service uptake was used to inform the Diversion Order		
Data on quality/outcomes	No information on quality/outcomes was used to inform the Diversion Order		
Research/literature evidence	No research or literature was used to inform the Diversion Order		
Service user experience information	No service user experience information was used to inform the Diversion Order		
Consultation and involvement findings	No consultation has taken place to date, however, as a requirement of the Countryside (Scotland) Act 1967 signs will be erected at the beginning and end of the route for a 28 period and the public can raise objections over these 28 days. A public notice will also be published in the Dalkeith Advertiser announcing the consultation period and the proposed Diversion. The Diversion Order is a legal process under Section 35 of the Countryside (Scotland) Act 1967).		
Good practice guidelines			
Other (please specify) Is any further information required? How will you gather this?			

4. How does the policy meet the different needs of and impact on groups in the community?

Equality Groups	Comments – positive/ negative impact
Older people, people in the	The diverted paths, once completed, will
middle years,	provide an improved system of paths for
	these groups due to the higher
	specification of surfacing.
	The specifications of the temporary path
	will also be higher than currently exists
	where the paths are very muddy.
Young people and children	There is however no information on who is
	using the paths currently and who will use
	the improved path in the future.
Women, men and	
transgender people (includes	
issues relating to pregnancy	
and maternity)	
Disabled people (included	It cannot be envisaged that there will be
physical disability; learning	any adverse impacts on these groups and
disability; sensory	there will be improved access for people
Impairment; long term	with mobility issues and wheelchair users
medical conditions; mental	since the muddy beaten earth paths will be
health problem)	replaced in the main with hard standing
	and/or roadside footways with drop kerbs.
Minority ethnic people	
(includes Gypsy/Travellers	
migrant workers non-English	
Refugees and asylum	
seekers	
People with different religions	
or beliefs (included people	
with no religion or belief.	
Lesbian; gay bisexual and	
heterosexual people	
People who are unmarried; married or in a civil	
married or in a civil partnership	
Those vulnerable to falling	
into poverty	
Unemployed	
People on Benefits	
Single Parents and	
vulnerable families	
Pensioners	
Looked after Children	
Those leaving care settings	
((including children and	
young people and those with	
illness)	
Homeless People	
Carers (including young carers)	

Those involved in the criminal	
justice system	
Those living in the most deprived	
communities (bottom 20% SIMD	
areas)	
People misusing services	
People with low	
literacy/numeracy	
Others e.g. veterans, students	
Geographical Communities	
Rural/ semi rural Communities	
Urban Communities	
Costal Communities	

5. Are there any other factors which will affect the way this policy impacts on the community or staff groups?
No

6. Is any part of this policy/ service to be carried out wholly or partly by contractors?

If yes, how have you included equality and human rights considerations into the contract?

Yes. Contractors will be involved in carrying out the path works on behalf of the developer but not the council. Neither the developer nor the contractor are linked to the council.

7. Have you considered how you will communicate information about this policy or policy change to those affected e.g. to those with hearing loss, speech impairment or English as a second language?

Information published by Midlothian Council can be provided on request in many of the community languages and also in large print, Braille, audio tape or BSL. For more information please contact the Equality, Diversity & Human Rights Officer on 0131 271 3658 or equalities@midlothian.gov.uk.

8. Please consider how your policy will impact on each of the following?

Objectives	Comments
Equality and Human Rights	
Promotes / advances equality of opportunity	The new footpaths should promote and
e.g. improves access to and quality of	advance equality of opportunity as they
services, status	should be accessible to more people.
Promotes good relations within and between	The new paths should be usable by a much
people with protected characteristics and	wider range of people.

tackles harassment			
Promotes participation, inclusion, dignity and self- control over decisions	The new paths should be usable by a much wider range of people thereby promoting participation, inclusion, dignity and self-control over decisions.		
Builds family support networks, resilience	No		
and community capacity			
Reduces crime and fear of crime	No		
Promotes healthier lifestyles including Diet and nutrition Sexual Heath Substance Misuse Exercise and physical activity Life Skills	Supports fitness and health through walking, cycling and horse riding.		
Environmental			
Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in Midlothian (including carbon management)	No		
Plan for future climate change	No		
Pollution: air/ water/ soil/ noise	No		
Protect coastal and inland waters	No		
Enhance biodiversity	There will be no detriment to biodiversity through the construction and use of the paths		
Public Safety: Minimise waste generation/ infection control/ accidental injury /fire risk	No		
Reduce need to travel / promote sustainable forms or transport	No		
Improves the physical environment e.g. housing quality, public and green space	No		
Economic			
Maximises income and /or reduces income inequality	No		
Helps young people into positive destinations	No		
Supports local business	No		
Helps people to access jobs (both paid and unpaid)	No		
Improving literacy and numeracy	No		

Improves working conditions, including equal pay	No
Improves local employment opportunities	No

9. Is the policy a qualifying Policy, Programme or Strategy as defined by The Environmental Impact Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005?

I N∩		
110		

10. Action Plan You can't finalise this section until you have gone over the IIA again

Identified negative impact	Mitigating circumstances	Mitigating actions	Timeline	Responsible person
None noted				

11. Sign off by Head of Service

Ricky Moffat, Head of Commercial Operations

Date

Name Ricky Moffat,

Head of Commercial

OperationsDate