TABLE 1 Complaints Received by Subject 2015-16

Subject Group	Medoman	Rank	Complement as	Sector	Rank	Complements as % of testal
Suising.	8	-	40.04	423	-	Na ka
Nanning	40	~	22.0%	2	5	10.0%
Speak Work	2	A	100%	23	-	134%
Austral Control	2	E.	HODE	3	6	7138
Polynon	-	25	30%	2	-	110 416
Welfare Fund - Community Care Grants		25	30%	31	=	100
and & Property	-	20	30%	2	12	1.2%
Education	0		400	E	4	340 04
Enyronmental Health & Cleansing	0		SP76	126	u	7.5%
loads & Transport			400	120	-	10%
mgal & Admin	0		1600	9		3.5%
tecnsation & Leisura	0		404	22	9	181
Zher	0		200	=	2	10%
conomic Development	0		9600	-	4	410
Artonnel	0		400	0	152	13%
Vellare Fond - Crips Grants	0		400	6	15=	0.5%
Melicinal Parti Authorities	0		1600		=2.	0.7%
/alustion Joint Boards	0		aph	9	173	a 37h
Fire & Police Boards	0		9600	5	18	45.0
onsumer Protection	0		aoris	-7	8	420
uned Unknown or Out Of Jurisdiction		1	40%	ន		13%
		Mary - Mary	100 E	727	JAN - 1011	10000

Complaints Received by Subject 2014-15

	Melodian		Complanti as	Sector		Connectors
Subject Group	Council	Rank	Startonal	Total	Rank	as 14 of April
Planning	7	-	22.8%	217	6	11.3%
foweign.	9	2	18.4%	999	-	24 8%
Social Work	9	6	10.00	253	2	135%
dycation	2	4	6.5%	174	A H	3.2%
mance	2	7	43%	174	4:0	977.0
Invanmental Heath & Cleansing	2	48	450	148	100	7.8%
Roads & Transport	2	42	65%	119	-	B.7%
Pgut & Admin	-	40	355	20	60	40%
Suidding Control		10	12.50	50	6	3.2%
and 5 Property	-	9:0	1238	8	10	1.5%
Other		20	325	23	25	41.
Welfams Fund - Cress Grants	-	110	32%	2	4	400
Lecresion & Legium	0 7		460		F	- 33
Velfare Fund - Community Care Grants	0		0.0%	7		0.7%
hrtenna)	n n		400	9	55	0.5%
conomic Development	0		den	80	18.	900
ansumer Protection	0		1400	9	101	300
aluation Joint Boards	0		9,00	0	92	47.75
in & Police Boards	0		400	-	61	10.2%
Monal Park Authorities	0		9600	7	8	42.0
white Unknown or Out Of Junspettern			32%	15		2.7%
The later of the l	THE RESERVE	THE REAL PROPERTY.	10000	1 864		

(10 kg)

TABLE 2 Local Authority Complaints Datermined 2015-16

Medication Medication Council O O O O O O O O O	2015-16		
Anddothan Council and the best mindrawn Council and the		2017	2014-15
Council Coun	_	Mediatrian	Sector
Comparison 2 Comp		Compo	Total
Communication Communicatio	2 1 321	5	280
Section than discretionary	-	٥	2
a cchev sible 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	٥	25
9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 0	a	2
2 Con verticitaven 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	909	22	733
1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		0	7
1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10% 5%	A 25 C	a1.01.10
Coor (descrete-onlay) 2 Exchevrable 2 Exchevrable 2 Exchevrable 0 Se of withdrawn 0	8	0	98
2 achievative 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	701	-	Ş
2 achtevalde 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2	2
2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 165	-	è
26 of well-drawen 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 58	0	42
26 Of well-diments 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	52	٥	2
2 of well-drawn 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	200	120 Ed. (50 Ed.)	Sec. 416 to
9 to trivibidizent 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	7
26 Ot swift-Grawm 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22		18
26 Of well-distance 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	37	_	2
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	0	
8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-		-
6 or withdrawn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Office March Advance O	Steeling and Steelings	110
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	38
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	36	_	26
6 of withdrawn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	40	-	3
1	7	0	-
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0	-
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	200 Total 100 April 100 Ap	STREET & STREET	200 110 PM
1	-	P	-
1 H 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-		-
HE H		-	
# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	ALC: US COMMAN	Carlot & Married	
	188	31	1,442
	9 944		1
	1/6%	1017	4
			1
		n •	7.0
Uprade Ripus Lander Instal for the SPSO!	ľ	17.00	

2015-16Midothian.alsx / MidothianClosed

SPSO decision report



Case: 201400946, Midlothian Council

Sector: local government Subject: primary school

Outcome: some upheld, recommendations

Summary

Mrs C complained about the council's decision not to allow her child to delay starting primary school. Mrs C was concerned that her original application for this had not been considered and that the council were unaware of this error until she raised it with them. Mrs C did not consider that the council had provided her with accurate information about delaying entry to primary one, or that they had shown that a proper assessment of her child's needs had been carried out. Mrs C also complained that the council had not followed their complaints handling procedure.

Following our investigation, we upheld Mrs C's complaint that the council had not dealt with her application properly and noted that they had already provided her with an apology for this. We found that there was no system in place to confirm that all applications submitted via schools had been logged at the council's central pupil placement department. We upheld Mrs C's complaint about the information she was provided with as we found that this was confusing and lacked clarity. The complaint about the handling of her concerns was also upheld. We found that the council had already acknowledged this failing and apologised to Mrs C.

After considering her concerns about the assessment of her child's needs, we found that there was evidence that this had taken place and, although this could have been better communicated to Mrs C by the council, we did not uphold this part of her complaint.

Recommendations

We recommended that the council:

- consider the introduction of a system to confirm with schools that all submitted applications have been logged by the pupil placement department;
- confirm that the difference between deferment and a retained year will be clearly explained in the next revision of their guidance;
- consider the benefits of separating the deferment and retained year application process to avoid confusion in future:
- · ensure that accurate information about routes for resolution is provided at an early stage;
- ensure that the reasoning and final decisions reached on such applications are formally recorded; and
- raise awareness amongst staff in the education department of the definition of a complaint and when their complaints handling procedure should be used.